

Teh



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,014	01/29/2002	Chong Lee	174/211	5565
36981	7590	09/12/2005	EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3 NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105			WANG, TED M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/059,014

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Ted M. Wang

Art Unit

2634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-19 and 21-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4, 20 and 27-37 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 April 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/25/2002</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5, the limitation of "eighth circuitry" in line 3 as recited, is indefinite since – seventh circuitry –, has not been introduced previously.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 16-19, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 6,266,799).

- With regard claim 1, Lee et al. discloses Circuitry for using a reference clock signal to extract data from a data signal (Fig.1 and Fig.2 element 110a, and column 4 line 40 – column 5 line 61), the data signal having a data rate that is twice the reference clock signal frequency (column 7 lines 42-51), comprising:  
first circuitry (Fig.2 and 3 element 204) configured to derive from the reference clock signal first and second phase-shifted versions of the reference

clock signal that are respectively synchronized with oppositely polarized transitions in level of the data signal (Fig.5 elements ck0 and clk3, column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 4, and column 7 lines 9-42);

second circuitry (Fig.3 elements 302 and 310) configured to sample the data signal in a predetermined phase relationship to the first phase-shifted version in order to produce a first partial stream of data extracted from the data signal (column 2 lines 18-65, and column 11 line 59 – column 12 line 19); and

third circuitry (Fig.3 elements 302 and 310) configured to sample the data signal in a predetermined phase relationship to the second phase-shifted version in order to produce a second partial stream of data extracted from the data signal (column 2 lines 18-65, and column 11 line 59 – column 12 line 19).

- With regard claim 2, Lee et al. further discloses fourth circuitry configured to produce a plurality of more than two phase-shifted candidate versions of the reference clock signal (Fig.3 element 310 and column 5 line 52 – column 6 line 25).

- With regard claim 3, Lee et al. further discloses

fifth circuitry configured to select from the candidate versions first and second candidate versions that are most nearly in phase with transitions in the level of the data signal having a first polarity (Fig.3 element 310 output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$ , Fig.5 elements ck0 and clk3, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51); and

sixth circuitry configured to select from the candidate versions third and fourth candidate versions that are most nearly in phase with transitions in the level of the data signal having a second polarity (Fig.3 element 310 output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$ , Fig.5 elements clk0 and clk3, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51).

- With regard claim 5, all limitation can further be taught by Lee et al. in (Fig.3 element 310 output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$ , Fig.5 elements clk0 and clk3, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51), where the circuit 310 directly select clk0 for data/clock recovery circuit that has a first polarity as the first shifted version ( $\Phi_0$ ) of the reference clock signal.
- With regard claim 6, Lee et al. further discloses first multi-stage shift register circuitry (Fig.4a elements 402a-402d and column 6 line 44 –column 7 line 8) having a data input terminal to which the first partial data stream is applied (Fig.4a element serial IN (NRZ) data), the first shift register circuitry being configured to shift in data from its input terminal in a predetermined phase relationship to the first phase-shifted version (Fig.4a elements  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$  and clk0 – clk3).
- With regard claim 7, Lee et al. further discloses first shift register reading circuitry configured to read out in parallel the contents of multiple stages of the first shift register circuitry (Fig.2 element 206, Fig.3 and 4a elements D0 – D3, and column 5 lines 48-61).

- With regard claim 8, Lee et al. further discloses wherein the first shift register reading circuitry is configured to operate in a predetermined phase relationship to the first phase-shifted version (Fig.3 element 310 output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$ , Fig.5 elements clk0 and clk3, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51).
- With regard claim 9, Lee et al. further discloses wherein the first shift register reading circuitry is further configured to operate in response to only a selected fraction of cycles of the first phase-shifted version (column 7 lines 42-51).
- With regard claim 10, Lee et al. further discloses the circuitry defined the fraction is programmably selectable (Fig.3 element 310 and column 6 lines 1-15).
- With regard claim 16, which is a method claim related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 17, which is a method claim related to claim 2, all limitation is contained in claim 2. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 18, Lee et al. further discloses the phase shifts of the candidate versions equally divide among them a cycle of the reference clock signal (Fig.3 element 310 output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$ , Fig.5 elements clk0 and clk3, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51, and column 7 lines 42-51). All other limitation is contained in claim 17. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

- With regard claim 19, which is a method claim related to claim 3, all limitation is contained in claim 3. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 21, which is a method claim related to claim 5, all limitation is contained in claim 5. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 22, which is a method claim related to claim 6, all limitation is contained in claim 6. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 23, which is a method claim related to claim 7, all limitation is contained in claim 7. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 24, Lee et al. further discloses operation phase locked loop circuitry to produce the reference clock signal (column 3 lines 1-13, Fig.2 element 204, and column 11 lines 11-24).
- With regard claim 25, Lee et al. discloses an apparatus for receiving an information signal which includes data information having clock information for the data information embedded in the data information comprising:
  - first input circuitry configured to receive the information signal (Fig.2 element Data/ Data bar);
  - second input circuitry configured to receive a reference clock signal having a reference frequency which is related to a frequency of the clock information by

a predetermined scale factor (Fig.2 element 110a input circuit to receive signals from element 204);

reference clock signal processing circuitry (Fig.2 element 110a) configured to use the information signal and the reference clock signal to produce two recovered clock signals, where each recovered clock signal has a respective one of two shifted phases (Fig.3 elements 110a, 310 output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$ , Fig.5 elements clk0 and clk3, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51), each of which corresponds to a phase of the clock information, and where each recovered clock signal has a frequency that is half a frequency of the clock information (column 7 lines 42-51); and

data recovery circuitry configured to use the two recovered clock signals and the information signal to produce two retimed data output signals indicative of the data information in the information signal (Fig.3 elements 302-310 and column 5 line 62 – column 6 line 43).

- With regard claim 26, Lee et al. further discloses first phase locked loop circuitry (Fig.3 element 204 and column 11 lines 11-24) configured to use the reference clock signal and the scale factor to produce a plurality of candidate further reference clock signals (Fig.3 element 310 and output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$  and Fig.5 elements clk0-clk3, column 7 lines 42-51, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51), each further reference clock signal having a frequency that is half a frequency of the clock information and having a phase which is different from the phases of all the other candidate further reference



clock signals (Fig.3 element 310 and output  $\Phi_0 - \Phi_3$  and Fig.5 elements clk0-clk3, column 7 lines 42-51, and column 5 line 40 – column 6 line 43, and column 7 lines 9-51).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 6,266,799) in view of Li et al. (US 6,693,985).

- With regard claim 11, Lee et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching a Programmable logic device circuitry to implement the clock and data recovery circuit.

However, Li et al. teaches that a Programmable logic device circuitry to implement a clock and data recovery circuit (column 7 line 58 – column 8 line 21).

It is desirable to include a Programmable logic device circuitry to implement a clock and data recovery circuit. The reason for this is if the variety of discrete circuitries of clock and data recovery circuit is implemented into a PLD, the circuit complexity and circuit board size can be reduced so that the system cost can be

Art Unit: 2634

reduced. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the apparatus/method as taught by Li et al. in which, having a Programmable logic device circuitry to implement the clock and data recovery circuit, into Lees' data/clock recovery circuitry so as to reduce the total system cost.

7. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 6,266,799) and Li et al. (US 6,693,985) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Wang et al. (US 6,292,116).

- With regard claim 12, Lee et al. and Li et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described in the claim 11 except for specifically teaching
  - a) processing circuitry; and
  - b) a memory coupled to said processing circuitry.

However, Wang et al. further teaches a processing circuitry (Fig.1 element 101) and a memory coupled to said processing circuitry (Fig.1 element 105).

It is desirable to include a processing circuitry and a memory coupled to said processing circuitry in a board. The reason for this is if the memory coupled to said processing circuitry, the programmable and operational execution software can be stored so that the external control circuitry can be eliminated and it reduce the system cost. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the apparatus/method as taught by Wang et al. in which, having a processing

circuitry and a memory coupled to said processing circuitry, into Lee et al. and Lis' data/clock recovery circuitry so as to reduce the total system cost.

- With regard claims 13-15, it is inherent that the PLD, the processing circuitry, and the memory will be mounted on a single printed circuit board or multiple boards for operation. It also refers to cited Wangs' patent column 3 lines 19-40. All other limitation is contained in claims 11 and 13. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claims 4, 20, and 27-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Conclusion***

9. Reference(s) US 5,550,864 is cited because they are put pertinent to the clock and data recovery circuit. However, none of references teach detailed connection as recited in claim.


10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2634

Ted M. Wang



**STEPHEN CHIN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**